





COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2023 I

Paper No. 10

JOHN S. BEULICK ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE SUITE 2600 ST. LOUIS, MO 63102

**COPY MAILED** 

NOV 0 7 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Holmes et al.

Application No. 09/742,545

Filed: December 22, 2000

Attorney Docket No. 9D-HR-19396

ON PETITION

This decision concerns the October 22, 2002 renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b).

The renewed petition is **DISMISSED**.

As stated in the October 4, 2002 decision, the February 4, 2002 §1.137(b) petition was dismissed, in that, some of the substitute drawings submitted with that petition did not comply with the margins requirements of 37 CFR 1.84.

Some of the substitute drawings filed with the instant renewed petition again fail to meet the §1.84 margins requirements. The renewed petition is thus dismissed.

Applicants may file a renewed §1.137(b) petition (no fee) that is:

-accompanied by:

-necessary substitute drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84; and

-a statement that the entire delay in filing the required drawings from the due date until the filing of a grantable §1.137(b) petition was unintentional;

-filed within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision unless proper extension(s) of time is (are) obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a);<sup>2</sup>

and addressed (by mail or by hand) to:

Commissioner for Patents, ÚSPTO Office of Petitions Crystal Plaza Four, Suite CP4-3C23 2201 South Clark Place Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone inquiries may be directed to the undersigned at (703) 308-0763.

RC Tang

Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions

Office of the Commissioner

for Patents

Attachment:

11/6/02 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (courtesy copy)

Only the sheets of drawings objected to by the draftsperson need to be re-submitted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 37 CFR 1.137(e)(1).